**Directions:** Please use the following document as a guide for completing the monitoring and evaluation (M&E) plan component of the proposal package for the Full Participation Fund. We recommend using the enclosed S/GWI M&E Plan Template.

**Project Monitoring and Evaluation Plan**

Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) is an organized process for collecting and analyzing a program’s results to determine the level at which the program is achieving the goals and objectives identified at the beginning of the program. This is tracked through the creation of and reporting on a **Monitoring and Evaluation Plan (M&E Plan).**

Each M&E Plan should contain specific activities with corresponding output indicators and results indicators. Both output indicators and results indicators have baselines and targets, data sources, disaggregation, and frequency of data collection. S/GWI has provided a recommended template for the project M&E Plan. It is not required that the applicant used the attached template, but the applicants using their own template should be sure to include all components detailed with the recommended M&E Plan Template. Each component is described in detail below.

***Goals and Objectives:*** Please copy goals and objectives listed in the **Project Narrative Template**. The guidance for creating goals and objectives is listed in Section 2: Project Approach of the Project Narrative Template instructions.

***Activities:*** For S/GWI projects, there should be at least two activities associated with each objective. Activities are the major actions carried out with grant funds during the performance period. They are directly linked to achievement of project objectives and are sufficient to reach those objectives.

Activities should be well-defined in terms of audience, location, duration and cost:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Strong Activity Example** | **Weak Activity Example** |
| Conduct two-day training program by Prof. Djelloul at the Marrakesh Civic Education Center on municipal elections monitoring process for 25 political science students from the University of Marrakesh to be completed 30 days before June 19 elections. | Election monitoring training. |

A good activity description designates the person responsible for implementing, defines the activity location, specifies duration of the activity, indicates the number of participants, sets deadlines to be respected, and provides details to justify budget requested.

***Performance Indicators:*** S/GWI projects track two types of performance indicators, **Results Indicators** and **Output Indicators**. Both are used to observe progress being made towards anticipated results. Performance indicators should be linked to the project’s activities and objectives and are described in more detail below.

In differentiating between results indicators and outputs, it can be useful to think of outputs as tying to activities (e.g., outputs of a training program include the number of individuals trained and number of training sessions held). Multiple outputs when taken together build to achieve a desired result (e.g., two training sessions with 60 people each lead to an overall increase in knowledge of participants). Results are developmentally significant changes that impact the beneficiaries, while outputs are lower-level steps that are essential in achieving results.

Measures should be in quantifiable terms and clearly defined. Qualitative indicators are acceptable if they provide a reliable means to measure a particular phenomenon or attribute.

**Results Indicators** measure the extent to which a project objective is being achieved. Results indicators often measure change (e.g., change in knowledge of participants, number of women who voted in last election (compared to previous election), change in percent of women in workforce). Each objective listed must have at least one results indicator. Results indicators should answer the question, “*How will we know achievement when we see it?*”

|  |
| --- |
| **Results Indicators** |
| Change in the number of university students participating in the municipal elections monitoring process |

**Output indicators** do not measure change. They instead measure the products of project activities . Example: *Number of training sessions held* (product of activity, no change)

| **Activity** | **Output Indicators** |
| --- | --- |
| Conduct two-day training program by Prof. Djelloul at the Marrakesh Civic Education Center on municipal elections monitoring process for 25 political science students from the University of Marrakesh to be completed 30 days before the June 19 elections. | Number of students trained on the municipal elections monitoring process |
| Number of training modules provided |

***Baselines and Targets:*** Besides defining the performance indicators in the M&E plan, it is also important to set baselines and targets for each indicator and describe the methodology for measuring the performance indicators (e.g., pre- and post-test surveys, focus groups, interviews, etc.), including the data source (e.g., training sign-in sheets, website hits, survey data) and the frequency of measurement (e.g., after each workshop, quarterly, annually). Baselines and targets must be specified for each indicator included in the M&E plan.

A **Baseline** measure for an indicator is collected before or at the start of a project and provides a basis for planning and/or assessing subsequent progress and impact. This measure explains the current state of the result or output prior to the project start (e.g., number of people who already have vocational training, percent of women who already vote). If a prior program already provided vocational training to 100 women, then the baseline for “number of women trained” should be 100. For S/GWI projects, the baseline is often 0, but it does not have to be. Some projects must perform a baseline assessment to establish baselines. For example, if an indicator was “Number of women with knowledge of voting process,” the project might conduct a pre-test to determine how many women already have knowledge of the voting process.

**Targets** are reasonable estimates of the outputs or results to be achieved by the project over its period of performance. Targets are estimates. Performance may be higher or lower than the target, given realities of project implementation.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Results Indicator** | **Baseline** | **Target** | **End of Project Total** |
| Change in the number of university students participating in the June 19 municipal elections monitoring process | 0 | 20 | 22 |

For any baselines for targets expressed as percentages, please include information on how the percentage was derived, as demonstrated in the example below:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Results Indicator** | **Baseline** | **Target** |
| Percent of workshop attendees who go on to monitor the June 19 municipal elections | 0 | 80%(80% = 16 attendees go on monitor elections of the target 20 total workshop participants ) |

The **Data Source** is the collection tool by which the project will obtain results indicator or output indicator information throughout the program. Data collection tools should be easy to use for both the participant and the person responsible for analyzing the data. Suggested data collection tools include, but are not limited to:

* Pre- and post-tests
* Pre and post intervention surveys/questionnaires
* Meeting minutes
* Attendance sheets
* Site visit checklists
* Interviews
* Focus groups
* Secondary Data: Data that already exists (e.g.: Government reports, census information)
* Program reports

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Results Indicator** | **Baseline** | **Target** | **Data Source** |
| Change in the number of university students participating in the June 19 municipal elections monitoring process | 0 | 20 | Attendance sheets |

**Data Disaggregation** separates data into its component parts to look at how specific subgroups perform.  It is important to consider only the information that you need to know as there are infinite ways to disaggregate information collected from program.  The most common ways to disaggregate data are by sex and age. S/GWI requires that data at a minimum be disaggregated in this way.

It is particularly important to disaggregate data by sex to show the impact that a program is having on women, men, girls, and boys. This helps us to understand how the program implemented has changed the roles and responsibilities of men and women. Sex-disaggregated will help to illustrate the differences and inequalities in various situations for women and men.

Data may need to be divided into additional categories (e.g., region, vocation, type of organization, etc.) to meet the individual needs of the program. There is a specific place in the M&E Plan to enter in other disaggregation information.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Results Indicator** | **Baseline** | **Target** | **Data Source** | **Gender/Age Disaggregation** | **Other Disaggregation** |
| Change in the number of university students participating in the June 19 municipal elections monitoring process | 0 | 20 | Attendance sheets | Men (Age 18 – 30), Women (Age 18 – 30) | Voting District |

**Frequency of Data Collection** establishesschedule of when data will be collected (e.g., monthly, quarterly, and bi-annually) and validates its feasibility for program design. Note that this is not necessarily the frequency of the activity (e.g., literacy classes delivered daily), but rather the frequency by which data will be collected (e.g., program participant information collected quarterly). Consider what timing makes sense for each indicator.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Results Indicator** | **Baseline** | **Target** | **Data Source** | **Gender/Age Disaggregation** | **Other Disaggregation** | **Frequency of Data Collection** |
| Change in the number of university students participating in the June 19 municipal elections monitoring process | 0 | 20 | Attendance sheets | Men (Age 18 – 30), Women (Age 18 – 30) | Voting District | One time |

S/GWI wants to afford recipients the flexibility to design an M&E plan that is customized to the specifics of the project. S/GWI recognizes that sometimes it may be difficult for recipients to design truly results-oriented M&E plans, but we encourage recipients to develop an M&E plan that is as comprehensive, ambitious, and creative as possible.

The M&E plan is meant to detail how the results and outputs of project activities will be measured quantitatively. Yet, sometimes the results of a project are more easily conveyed qualitatively. Recipients can describe how project impact will be assessed qualitatively at the beginning of the M&E plan. If awarded, S/GWI encourages recipients to provide success stories and anecdotal or other qualitative evidence of project impact in the quarterly progress reports, as well as showing how well the project is meeting the targets set in the M&E plan.